

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

NAVAJO HEALTH FOUNDATION – SAGE)
 MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, Inc.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
 vs.)
)
 RAZAGHI DEVELOPMENT COMPANY,)
 LLC, *et al.*,)
)
 Defendants.)

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00329-GMN-EJY

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), (ECF No. 210), of United States Magistrate Judge Elayna J. Youchah, which recommends denying Defendants’ Motion for Sanctions, (ECF No. 174), and denying Plaintiff’s request for sanctions argued in its Response, (ECF No. 183).

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made. D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s R&R where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).


Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (*See* Min. Order,

1 ECF No. 210) (setting an October 12, 2022, deadline for objections).

2 Accordingly,

3 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 210), is
4 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

5 Dated this 13 day of October, 2022.

6
7 
8 _____
9 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
10 United States District Court
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25